arXiv:0903.3221v1 [math.NT] 18 Mar 2009

ON NÉRON-RAYNAUD CLASS GROUPS OF TORI AND THE CAPITULATION PROBLEM

CRISTIAN D. GONZÁLEZ-AVILÉS

Abstract. We discuss the Capitulation Problem for Néron-Raynaud class groups of tori over global fields F and obtain generalizations of the main results of [10]. We also show that short exact sequences of F-tori induce long exact sequences involving the corresponding Néron-Raynaud class groups. For example, we show that the Néron-Raynaud class group of any F-torus which is split by a metacyclic extension of F can be "resolved" in terms of classical ideal class groups of global fields.

1. Introduction

Given an algebraic torus T over a number F and an integral model $\mathcal{H}$ of T, one can define a class group $C(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ by extending in a natural way the well-known adelic definition of the classical ideal class group of F. Several authors [14, 22, 23, 29, 31] have studied the class group of a particular model of T, namely the so-called *standard model* of T, and obtained interesting results for norm tori and their duals. The corresponding proofs are rather involved due to the unwieldy nature of the adelic definition mentioned above. Fortunately Ye.Nisnevich, in his thesis [19], obtained a cohomological intepretation of $C(\mathcal{H})$ which produces significant simplifications in the study of these groups, as already demonstrated by M.Morishita in [17] (we should mention that this author studied the class group of a certain model of the norm torus which differs from both the standard model mentioned above and the connected Néron-Raynaud model considered below). For the convenience of the reader, we have included in Section 3 of this paper an overview of Nisnevich's construction. In the case of the *connected Néron-Raynaud* model $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T}^\circ$ of an F-torus T (over any global field F), Nisnevich's cohomological interpretation quickly leads to a *non-adelic* description of the Néron-Raynaud class group $C(\mathcal{T}^\circ)$ (see [11], §3, or Proposition 4.1 below) which generalizes the well-known *ideal-theoretic* definition of the ideal class group of a global field. This alternative description is superbly well-suited for studying Néron-Raynaud class groups of *arbitrary tori*. In this paper we

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G30; Secondary 11R99.

Key words and phrases. Class groups of tori, Néron-Raynaud models, Nisnevich cohomology, Capitulation Problem, Ono invariants, R-equivalence, norm tori.

The author is partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1080025.

discuss two problems related to these groups. To explain them, we introduce the following notations.

Let F be a global field and let S be any nonempty finite set of primes of F containing the archimedean primes in the number field case. Let $\mathcal{T}^\circ$ denote the (fiberwise) identity component of the Néron-Raynaud model of T over $U = \operatorname{Spec} O_{F,S}$ and let $C_{T,F,S} = C(\mathcal{T}^\circ)$ be the corresponding class group. The first problem that we consider is a natural extension of the classical *S-Capitulation Problem* for ideal class groups of number fields. Namely, given a finite Galois extension K/F of F with Galois group G, describe the kernel and cokernel of the induced S-capitulation map $j_{T,K/F,S}\colon C_{T,F,S} \to C^G_{T,K,S_K}$, where S_K denotes the set of primes of K lying above the primes of S. Let $\widetilde{U} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K,S_K}$, write $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$ for the identity component of the Néron-Raynaud model of T_K over $\widetilde{U}$ and let

$$H^1\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' = \operatorname{Ker}\Big[H^1\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to H^1(G, T(K))\Big],$$

where the map involved is induced by the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U}) \to T(K)$. Further, for each prime $v \notin S$, let $H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))'$ be the analogous group associated to $T_{K_{w_v}}$, where w_v is a previously-selected prime of K lying above v, $\mathcal{O}_{w_v}$ is the ring of integers of the completion K_{w_v} of K at w_v and $G_{w_v} = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{w_v}/F_v)$. There exists a canonical localization map

$$\lambda_S\colon H^1\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))'.$$

Our first result is the following generalization of [10], Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that S contains all primes of F where T has bad reduction. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} \to H^1\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \ &\xrightarrow{\lambda_S}\ \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' \\ &\longrightarrow\ \operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $j'_{T,K/F,S}$ is a variant of $j_{T,K/F,S}$ defined in Section 4.

Regarding the cokernel of $j_{T,K/F,S}$, we obtain the following generalization of [10], Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that T splits over K and let R be the set of primes of F which ramify in K. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\to\ \operatorname{Coker} \widetilde{j}'_{T,K/F,S} \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{T,K/F,S} \to H^2\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to B_S(G,T) \\ &\to\ H^1\big(G, C_{T,K,S_K}\big) \to H^3\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,K/F,S}$ is a variant of $j_{T,K/F,S}$ defined in Section 5 and the group $B_S(G,T)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to Ш^2(T) \to B_S(G,T) \to \bigoplus_{v\in S\cup R} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))' \to \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D.$$

Here $Ш^2(T)$ and X are, respectively, the second Tate-Shafarevich group of T and the G-module of characters of T, $\widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D$ is the Pontryagin dual of the 0-th Tate cohomology group of X and the groups $H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))'$ are certain variants of $H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))$ defined in Section 5.

The second question addressed in this paper is the following one: given a short exact sequence of F-tori

$$0 \to T_1 \to T_2 \to T_3 \to 0, \tag{1.1}$$

how are the Néron-Raynaud S-class groups $C_{T_i,F,S}$ related? We can answer this question for certain types of sequences (1.1)[1]. In order to explain our results, we first recall that an F-torus is called *quasi-trivial* if its module of characters is a permutation G_F-module, where G_F is the absolute Galois group of F. It is called *invertible* if it is a direct factor of a quasi-trivial torus. Let T be an F-torus and assume that T admits an *invertible resolution*, i.e., there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1 \to Q \to T \to 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where Q is quasi-trivial and T_1 is invertible. This is the case, for example, if T is split by a *metacyclic* extension of F. Then the following holds.

Theorem 1.3. *The exact sequence* (1.2) *induces an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_1^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{Q}^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to C_{T_1,F,S} \to C_{Q,F,S} \to C_{T,F,S} \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ denote, respectively, the Néron-Raynaud models of T_1, Q and T over U.

See Section 6 for applications of the above result to duals of norm tori.

Certainly, the class of F-tori which admit an invertible resolution is a rather restricted one. But *any* F-torus T admits a *flasque resolution*

$$0 \to T_1 \to Q \to T \to 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where Q is quasi-trivial and T_1 is flasque. We recall that an F-torus T_1 with module of characters X_1 is called *flasque* if $\widehat{H}^{-1}(H, X_1) = 0$ for every open subgroup H of G_F. Then there exists a naturally-defined variant $C^R_{T,F,S}$ of $C_{T,F,S}$, which we call the *R-equivalence class group of T*, so that the following result holds.

[1] Our methods should lead to an answer to the above question (for any sequence (1.1)) provided S contains all primes of F which are wildly ramified in the minimal splitting field of T_1.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that S contains all primes of F where T_1 in* (1.3) *has bad reduction. Then* (1.3) *induces an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_1^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{Q}^\circ(U) \to R\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to C_{T_1,F,S} \to C_{Q,F,S} \to C^R_{T,F,S} \to 0,$$

where $R\mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$ of all elements which are R-equivalent to 1.

In fact, we obtain a more general result. See Theorem 7.4.

This paper discusses other issues that seem interesting, e.g., the computation of *Ono invariants* for certain types of tori (see Sections 6 and 8).

This paper is divided into 8 Sections. Section 2 is preliminary. In Section 3 we present Ye.Nisnevich's cohomological interpretation of the class set of an affine group scheme. We hope that this Section will be useful to other researchers in this area. Sections 4 and 5 contain the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Sections 6 and 7, which to a large extent can be read independently of Sections 4 and 5, contain the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Finally, Section 8 discusses class groups of norm tori.

The problems discussed in this paper admit interesting analogues for abelian varieties. We hope to discuss them in future publications. For an indication of the type of problems to be considered, see [12].

Acknowledgements

I thank B.Edixhoven for his help with the proof of Lemma 2.1. I also thank him, P.Gille, D.Lorenzini and X.Xarles for helpful comments. Most of this paper was written during visits to Leiden University and University of Bordeaux in the winter of 2009. I thank both institutions for their hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

Let F be a global field, i.e. F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ or is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over a finite field of constants. Let S be any nonempty finite set of primes of F which contains the archimedean primes in the number field case. Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of F with Galois group G. We fix a separable algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F containing K and write G_K for $\mathrm{Gal}\big(\overline{F}/K\big)$. Further, we will write S_K for the set of primes of K lying above the primes in S. The ring of S (resp., S_K)-integers of F (resp., K) will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$ (resp., $\mathcal{O}_{K,S_K}$). Sometimes it is convenient to assume that K/F is only separable, in which case we keep these notations. Let $U = \operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$ and $\widetilde{U} = \operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{K,S_K}$. For every $v \notin S$, the henselization (resp., completion) of the local ring of U at v will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_v^h$ (resp., $\mathcal{O}_v$), and F_v^h and F_v will denote the corresponding fields of fractions. We will write $k(v)$ for the residue field of U at v and $i_v\colon \operatorname{Spec}k(v) \to U$ for the corresponding closed immersion. For any prime v of F, we fix once and for all a prime w_v of K lying above v and write $G_{w_v} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_{w_v}/F_v)$ for the decomposition group of w_v in K/F. The inertia

subgroup of G_{w_v} will be denoted by I_{w_v}. Let $\overline{w}_v$ be a fixed prime of $\overline{F}$ lying above w_v. Then the completion of $\overline{F}$ at $\overline{w}_v$, $\overline{F}_{\overline{w}_v}$, is a separable algebraic closure of F_v containing K_{w_v}. We set $I_{\overline{w}_v} = \mathrm{Gal}\big(\overline{F}_{\overline{w}_v}/K_{w_v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\big)$ and $I_{\overline{v}} = \mathrm{Gal}\big(\overline{F}_{\overline{w}_v}/F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}\big)$, where $K_{w_v}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ (resp., F_v^{nr}) is the maximal unramified extension of K_{w_v} (resp., F_v) inside $\overline{F}_{\overline{w}_v}$. Clearly, $I_{\overline{w}_v}$ is a subgroup of $I_{\overline{v}}$ and there exist canonical isomorphisms $I_{\overline{v}}/I_{\overline{w}_v} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_{w_v}^{\mathrm{nr}}/F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}) = I_{w_v}$. We will write $G(w_v)$ for $\mathrm{Gal}(k(w_v)/k(v))$, which will be identified with G_{w_v}/I_{w_v}. Further, we will write e_v for the ramification index of v in K, i.e., $e_v = [K_{w_v}^{\mathrm{nr}} \colon F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}]$. If K/F is a finite separable extension, v is a non-archimedean prime of F and w is a prime of K lying above v, then we will write e_w for $[K_w^{\mathrm{nr}} \colon F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}]$.

Let T be an F-torus and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the Néron-Raynaud model of T_K over $\widetilde{U}$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a smooth and separated $\widetilde{U}$-group scheme which is locally of finite type and represents the sheaf $\widetilde{\jmath}_* T_K$ on the small smooth site over $\widetilde{U}$. See [2], Proposition 10.1.6, p.292. Now, if $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the Néron-Raynaud model of T over U, there exists a canonical base-change map $\mathcal{T} \times_U \widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ which is an isomorphism if $\widetilde{U}/U$ is étale [2], §7.2, Theorem 1(i), p.176. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$ (resp., $\mathcal{T}^\circ$) denote the (fiberwise) identity component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{T}$). Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$ is an affine smooth U-group scheme of finite type (see [15], Proposition 3, p.18, and [2], p.290, line 6). For each prime $w \notin S_K$, let $\Phi_w = i_w^*\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}/\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big)$ be the étale $k(w)$-sheaf of connected components of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ at w. Then $\Phi_w\big(\overline{k(w)}\big)$ is a finitely generated $G_{k(w)}$-module [32], Proposition 2.18. For each prime $v \notin S$, we will write Φ_v for the étale sheaf of connected components of $\mathcal{T}$ at v. When necessary to avoid confusion, we will write $\Phi_v(T)$ for Φ_v and $\Phi_w(T_K)$ for Φ_w. The *Néron-Raynaud S-class group of T* is the finite group $C_{T,F,S} = C\big(\mathcal{T}^\circ\big)$ (see [6], §1.3, for the finiteness assertion). Its cardinality will be denoted by $h_{T,F,S}$.

We recall from [11] the definition of the capitulation map on $C_{T,F,S}$. Let $f \colon \widetilde{U} \to U$ be the canonical map. The adjoint morphism $\mathcal{T}^\circ \to f_* f^* \mathcal{T}^\circ$ induces a map $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{T}^\circ) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(U, f_* f^* \mathcal{T}^\circ\big)^G$. On the other hand, $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(U, f_* f^* \mathcal{T}^\circ\big) = H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(\widetilde{U}, f^* \mathcal{T}^\circ\big)$ by the exactness of f_* for the Nisnevich topology. Further, the base-change morphism $f^*\mathcal{T}^\circ = \mathcal{T}^\circ \times_U \widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$ induces a morphism $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(\widetilde{U}, f^* \mathcal{T}^\circ\big) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big)$. Thus, there exists a canonical map

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{T}^\circ) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big)^G.$$

By Theorem 3.5 below, the preceding map corresponds to a map

$$j_{T,K/F,S} \colon C_{T,F,S} \to C^G_{T,K,S_K} \tag{2.1}$$

which is called the *S-capitulation map*. We will now define a *norm* map $N_{T,K/F,S} \colon C_{T,K,S_K} \to C_{T,F,S}$, assuming only that K/F is a finite separable extension.

If $S' \to S$ is a morphism of schemes and $\mathcal{G}$ is an S'-group scheme, we will write $R_{S'/S}(\mathcal{G})$ for the Weil restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the given

morphism $S' \to S$. See [2], §7.6, for basic information regarding this construction.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a commutative ring with unit, let B be a locally free[2] finitely generated A-module and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a B-group scheme. Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is smooth and has geometrically connected fibers. Then $R_{B/A}(\mathcal{G})$ has geometrically connected fibers.*

Proof. (Cf. [7], proof of Theorem 1) Let $\overline{x}\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a geometric point of A, where k is an algebraically closed field. Then $R_{B/A}(\mathcal{G})_{\overline{x}} = R_{B_k/k}(\mathcal{G}_{B_k})$, where $B_k = B \otimes_A k$. Since B_k is finite over k, it is isomorphic to a finite product $\prod B_j$ of Artinian local k-algebras B_j with residue field k, whence $R_{B_k/k}(\mathcal{G}_{B_k}) = \prod_j R_{B_j/k}(\mathcal{G}_{B_j})$. We are thus reduced to the case where $A = k$ and B is an Artinian local k-algebra with residue field k. Set $G = R_{B/k}(\mathcal{G})$, $n = \dim_k(B)$ and let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of B (so that $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$). There exists a filtration of G by subfunctors $G \supset F^1G \supset \cdots \supset F^nG = 0$, where, for any k-algebra C,

$$F^iG(C) = \operatorname{Ker}\left[\mathcal{G}(C \otimes_k B) \to \mathcal{G}(C \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{m}^i))\right].$$

The maps $\mathcal{G}(C \otimes_k B) \to \mathcal{G}(C \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{m}^i))$ are surjective for all i and C by the smoothness of $\mathcal{G}$, which implies that there exists a canonical exact sequence of k-group schemes

$$0 \to F^1G \to G \to \mathcal{G}_k \to 0.$$

The group F^1G is connected by [7], proof of Theorem 1, and the lemma follows. □

Now the norm map $K^* \to F^*$ induces a map $R_{K/F}(T_K) \to T$ which, by the Néron mapping property, extends uniquely to a map of Néron-Raynaud models $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}) \to \mathcal{T}$ (that $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$ is the Néron-Raynaud model of $R_{K/F}(T_K)$ is shown in [2], Proposition 7.6.6, p.198). The latter map induces a map $\mathcal{N}\colon R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}})^\circ \to \mathcal{T}^\circ$. Now the above lemma and the argument in [18], proof of Lemma 3.1, show that $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}})^\circ = R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ)$, whence $\mathcal{N}$ is a map $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ) \to \mathcal{T}^\circ$. On the other hand, since $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ) = f_*\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ$ and f_* is exact for the Nisnevich topology, we have

$$H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\Big(U, R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ)\Big) = H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, f_*\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ) = H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ).$$

Thus $\mathcal{N}$ induces a map $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{T}^\circ)$ which, by Theorem 3.5 below, corresponds to a map

$$N_{T,K/F,S}\colon C_{T,K,S_K} \to C_{T,F,S}. \tag{2.2}$$

This is the desired norm map.

If $T = G_{m,F}$, we will drop T from some of the above notations, e.g., $C_{F,S}$ is $C_{T,F,S}$ when $T = G_{m,F}$.

[2]As pointed out by B.Edixhoven, this hypothesis is needed to ensure the representability of certain functors considered in [7], proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 2.2. The preceding argument shows that, if T is an F-torus and K/F is any finite separable extension, then the Néron-Raynaud S-class group of the F-torus $R_{K/F}(T_K)$ is canonically isomorphic to C_{T,K,S_K}.

For any finite group G, any G-module M and any integer i, $\widehat{H}^i(G,M)$ will denote the i-th Tate G-cohomology group of M. Recall that a finite group G is called *metacyclic* if every Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic. The classical Hölder-Burnside-Zassenhaus theorem asserts that a finite group G is metacyclic if and only if G is a semi-direct product of two cyclic groups whose orders are relatively prime. See [24], Theorem 10.26, p.246, and [33], Theorem V.3.11, p.175.

Let $X = \mathrm{Hom}\big(T\big(\overline{F}\big), \overline{F}^*\big)$ be the G_F-module of characters of T and let $X^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be its linear dual. Recall that a free and finitely generated G_F-module X is said to be a *permutation* G_F-module if it admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis which is permuted by G_F. Then $X \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}[G_F/H_i]$ for some open subgroups H_i of G_F and, consequently, the F-torus T associated to X is isomorphic to $\prod_{i=1}^m R_{L_i/F}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L_i})$ for some finite separable subextensions L_i/F of $\overline{F}/F$. Such a torus is called *quasi-trivial*. A G_F-module X as above is called *invertible* if it is isomorphic to a direct factor of a permutation G_F-module[3]. We call an F-torus *invertible* if its module of characters is an invertible G_F-module or, equivalently, if it is isomorphic to a direct factor of a quasi-trivial F-torus. The G_F-module X is called *flasque* (resp., *coflasque*) if $\widehat{H}^{-1}(H,X) = 0$ (resp., $\widehat{H}^1(H,X) = 0$) for every open subgroup H of G_F. Every invertible G_F-module is both flasque and coflasque. Further, the duality $X \mapsto X^\vee$ transforms flasque modules into coflasque ones and preserves invertible modules. An F-torus is called *flasque* (resp., *coflasque*) if its character module is a flasque (resp., coflasque) G_F-module. The usefulness of these notions stems from the fact that every F-torus T admits both a flasque resolution and a coflasque resolution, i.e., there exist a flasque torus T_1, a coflasque torus T_2, quasi-trivial tori Q_1 and Q_2 and exact sequences of F-tori

$$0 \to T_1 \to Q_1 \to T \to 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$0 \to T \to Q_2 \to T_2 \to 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Further, the tori T_i and Q_i above are determined by T up to multiplication by a quasi-trivial torus. See [5], Lemma 5, p.181 or [31], p.50. By work of S.Endo and T.Miyata [9], any F-torus which is split by a *metacyclic* extension of F admits, in fact, an *invertible resolution*, i.e., T_1 in (2.3) may be taken to be *invertible*. See [5], Proposition 2, p.184, or [31], pp.54-55.

For any abelian group M, we will write $M^D = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ for its Pontryagin dual. If M is finite, $[M]$ will denote the order of M.

[3]The reason for this terminology can be found in [5], p.178. These modules are also called *permutation projective* in the literature.

Finally, recall the group

$$Ш^2(T) = \mathrm{Ker}\left[H^2(F,T) \to \prod_{\text{all } v} H^2(F_v,T)\right].$$

3. Nisnevich cohomology and class sets

For the convenience of the reader, we present in this Section Ye.Nisnevich's cohomological interpretation of the class set of an affine group scheme. Our main reference is [19], Chapter I.

If Y is any scheme, Y_0 will denote the set of closed points of Y. Let Y be a noetherian scheme.

Definition 3.1. Let $f\colon Z \to Y$ be an étale morphism. A point $y \in Y$ is said to *split completely* in Z if there exists a point $z \in f^{-1}(y)$ such that the induced map of residue fields $k(y) \to k(z)$ is an isomorphism.

We denote the set of points of Y which split completely in Z/Y by $\mathrm{cs}(Z/Y)$.

Example 3.2. Assume that the set S introduced in the previous Section contains all prime ideals of F which ramify in K. Then a closed point v of U splits completely in $\widetilde{U}$ (as defined above) if and only if the prime ideal of F corresponding to v splits completely in K.

The *Nisnevich topology* on Y, denoted by Y_{Nis}, is the Grothendieck topology ([30], 1.2.1, p.24) whose underlying category is the category $\text{Ét}/Y$ of all étale Y-schemes and whose set of coverings is defined as follows. A *covering* is a finite family $\{Z_i \to Z\}_{i\in I}$ of étale morphisms such that $Z = \bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{cs}(Z_i/Z)$, i.e., every point of Z splits completely in some Z_i. If Z is the spectrum of a Dedekind ring, then any Nisnevich covering $\{Z_i \to Z\}_{i\in I}$ must contain an open immersion $Z_{i_0} \hookrightarrow Z$ (since the generic point of Z splits completely in some Z_i). We denote this topology by Y_{Nis}. Clearly, Y_{Nis} is finer than Y_{Zar} but coarser than $Y_{\text{ét}}$. We will write $\widetilde{Y}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$ for the category of sheaves of groups on Y_{Nis}. As is well-known (see, e.g., [30], Example 6.3.1, p.126), localization in the étale topology leads to the strict henzelization $\mathcal{O}^{sh}_{Y,y}$ of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. By contrast, localization in the Nisnevich topology leads to the henselization $\mathcal{O}^{h}_{Y,y}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ [20], 1.9.1, p.259. Now, any morphism of schemes $f\colon Z \to Y$ induces a morphism of topologies $f_{\mathrm{Nis}}\colon Y_{\mathrm{Nis}} \to Z_{\mathrm{Nis}}$ and therefore direct and inverse image functors

$$f_*\colon \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{Nis}} \to \widetilde{Y}_{\mathrm{Nis}}$$
$$f^*\colon \widetilde{Y}_{\mathrm{Nis}} \to \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{Nis}}.$$

As is the case for the étale topology, f_* is *exact* if f is a finite morphism. This may be proved by imitating the proof of the corresponding fact for the étale topology [30], proof of Theorem 6.4.2, p.129, using [20], Lemma 1.18.1, p.268, in place of [30], Lemma 6.2.3, p.124.

If V is a nonempty open subscheme of U, the ring of V-integral adeles of U is defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_U(V) = \prod_{v \in U \setminus V} F_v \times \prod_{v \in V_0} \mathcal{O}_v.$$

Now define a partial ordering on the family of all nonempty open subschemes of U by setting $V \leq V'$ if $V' \subset V$. Then, for every pair V, V' of nonempty open subschemes of U such that $V \leq V'$, there exists a canonical map $\mathbb{A}_U(V) \to \mathbb{A}_U(V')$. The ring of adeles of U is by definition

$$\mathbb{A}_U = \varinjlim_{V} \mathbb{A}_U(V).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a generically smooth U-group scheme of *finite type*. If $U' = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}'$ is an affine étale U-scheme with fraction field F', we let U'_* denote the normalization of U in F'. Define a sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ on U_{Nis} by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U') := \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_{U'_*}(U')) = \prod_{v' \in U'_* \setminus U'} \mathcal{H}(F'_{v'}) \times \prod_{v' \in U'_0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}'_{v'}).$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U') = \prod_{v \in U_0} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,\,S}} \mathcal{O}')$, which yields the following alternative description of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \prod_{v \in U_0} (j_v)_* j_v^* \widehat{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where, for each $v \in U_0$, $j_v \colon \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_v \to U$ is the canonical morphism.

Lemma 3.3. $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(U, \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\big) = 0$.

Proof. By (3.1), it suffices to check that $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(U, (j_v)_* j_v^* \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\big) = 0$ for every $v \in U_0$. The pointed set $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(U, (j_v)_* j_v^* \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\big)$ injects into $H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}\big(\mathcal{O}_v, j_v^* \widehat{\mathcal{H}}\big)$, which is trivial since a complete local scheme does not have nontrivial coverings in the Nisnevich topology. □

The diagonal embedding $\mathcal{O}' \to \mathbb{A}_{U'_*}(U')$ (where $U' = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}'$ is any affine étale U-scheme) induces an injection of Nisnevich sheaves $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}/\mathcal{H}$ be the corresponding quotient sheaf. The stalk of $\mathcal{Q}$ at the generic point of U is the group $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The canonical map $\mathcal{Q}(U) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F)$ is a bijection.*

Proof. To prove injectivity, assume that q^1 and $q^2 \in \mathcal{Q}(U)$ have the same image under the above map. There exists a covering $\{U_i \to U\}_{i \in I}$ and families of sections $s_i^k \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U_i)$ and $s_{ij}^k \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U_i \times_U U_j)$ $(k = 1, 2)$ such that $s_i^k = s_j^k s_{ij}^k$ for all i, j, k and $p(s_i^k) = q_i^k$ for all i, k, where q_i^k is the restriction of q^k to U_i and $p \colon \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{Q}$ is the canonical projection. The fact that q^1 and q^2 have the same image in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F)$ under the map of the lemma means

that there exist an index $i_0 \in I$, a nonempty Zariski-open subset $U_{i_0} \hookrightarrow U$ and a section $g \in \mathcal{H}(F)$ such that $s^1_{i_0} = s^2_{i_0} g$. Then, for every i,

$$s^1_i = s^1_{i_0} s^1_{i,i_0} = s^2_{i_0} g\, s^1_{i,i_0} = s^2_i\, s^2_{i_0,i} g\, s^1_{i,i_0}.$$

It follows from the above that $g \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U_i \times_U U_{i_0}) \cap \mathcal{H}(F) \subset \mathcal{H}(U_i \times_U U_{i_0})$. Consequently,

$$(s^2_i)^{-1} s^1_i = s^2_{i_0,i} g\, s^1_{i,i_0} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U_i) \cap \mathcal{H}(U_i \times_U U_{i_0}) = \mathcal{H}(U_i),$$

whence $s^1_i = s^2_i \mathcal{H}(U_i)$ for all i. We conclude that

$$q^1_i = p(s^1_i) = p(s^2_i) = q^2_i$$

for all i, whence $q^1 = q^2$.

To prove surjectivity, let $c \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F)$ and let $x = (x_v)_{v \in U_0} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)$ be a representative of c. There exists a Zariski open subscheme $U_{i_0} \subset U$ such that $x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U(U_{i_0}))$. We write f_{i_0} for the canonical inclusion $U_{i_0} \hookrightarrow U$. Let $S' = U \setminus U_{i_0}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_F$ is smooth, R.Elkik's approximation theorem [8], §II, shows that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}^h_v)$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}(F^h_v)$) is dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}(F_v)$) in the v-adic topology for every $v \in U_0$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ is open in $\mathcal{H}(F_v)$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}(F_v) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v)\mathcal{H}(F^h_v)$$

for every $v \in U_0$. Consequently, for each $v \in S'$, $x_v \in \mathcal{H}(F_v)$ decomposes as $x_v = a_v b_v$, where $a_v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and $b_v \in \mathcal{H}(F^h_v)$. Choose, for each $v \in S'$, a finite extension F'_v of F_v contained in F^h_v such that $b_v \in \mathcal{H}(F'_v)$. Further, define $U_{i_0,v} = U_{i_0} \cup \{v\}$ and let $U_{i_v} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{i_v}$ be the normalization of $U_{i_0,v}$ in F'_v. Note that $\mathcal{H}(U_{i_v} \times_U \operatorname{Spec} F) = \mathcal{H}(F'_v)$. We write $f_{i_v} \colon U_{i_v} \to U$ for the canonical morphism. Let $x' = (x'_v)_{v \in U_0}$ be the adele given by

$$x'_v = \begin{cases} a_v & \text{if } v \in S' \\ x_v & \text{if } v \in U_{i_0}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $x \equiv x' \pmod{\mathcal{H}(F'_v)}$ for every $v \in S'$. Now let $I = \{i_0\} \cup \{i_v \colon v \in S'\}$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} q_{i_v} &= x'\mathcal{H}(U_{i_v}) \in \mathcal{Q}(U_{i_v}) \quad (v \in S') \\ q_{i_0} &= x\mathcal{H}(U_{i_0}) \in \mathcal{Q}(U_{i_0}) \end{aligned}$$

be a family of local sections of $\mathcal{Q}$ associated to the covering $(f_i \colon U_i \to U)_{i \in I}$. Then $(q_i)_{i \in I}$ defines a section $q \in \mathcal{Q}(U)$ which maps to c. □

The above lemma enables us to identify $\mathcal{Q}(U)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F)$. The map p appearing in the proof of the lemma induces a map $p_U \colon \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U(U)) \to \mathcal{Q}(U)$. The *class set* $C(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is by definition the coset space $\operatorname{Im} p_U \backslash \mathcal{Q}(U)$, i.e.,

$$C(\mathcal{H}) = p_U(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U(U))) \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_U)/\mathcal{H}(F).$$

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a canonical bijection*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{H}} \colon C(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, the exact sequence of Nisnevich sheaves

$$1 \to \mathcal{H} \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 1$$

induces an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \to \mathcal{H}(U) \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}(U) \xrightarrow{p_U} \mathcal{Q}(U) \to H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{H}) \to 1.$$

Thus there exists a bijection $C(\mathcal{H}) = \operatorname{Im} p_U \setminus \mathcal{Q}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{\mathrm{Nis}}(U, \mathcal{H})$. □

Remark 3.6. The proof of Lemma 3.4 shows why the Zariski topology is too coarse to yield a cohomological interpretation of the class set $C(\mathcal{H})$: in general, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_{(v)})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{(v)}$ denotes the local ring of U at v, is not dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ (failure of weak approximation). On the other hand, the étale topology is too fine, in the sense that $H^1_{\text{ét}}(U, \mathcal{H})$ is usually larger than $C(\mathcal{H})$ (in fact, it can be shown that there exists a canonical embedding $C(\mathcal{H}) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\text{ét}}(U, \mathcal{H})$ whose cokernel is often nontrivial).

4. The capitulation kernel

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.1 (this is Theorem 4.6 below).

For each prime w of K, the canonical map $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{O}_w} \to (i_w)_* \Phi_w$ induces a map $\vartheta_w \colon T(K_w) \to \Phi_w(k(w))$ which generalizes the w-adic valuation $\operatorname{ord}_w \colon K_w^* \to \mathbb{Z}$[4]. The composite $T(K) \hookrightarrow T(K_w) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_w} \Phi_w(k(w))$ will also be denoted by ϑ_w. For each $v \notin S$, we have a canonical map $\oplus_{w|v} \vartheta_w \colon T(K) \to \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w))$. Consider

$$\vartheta_S = \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \bigoplus_{w|v} \vartheta_w \colon T(K) \to \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w)). \tag{4.1}$$

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a canonical exact sequence of G-modules*

$$1 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to T(K) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_S} \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w)) \to C_{T,K,S_K} \to 0,$$

where ϑ_S is the map (4.1).

Proof. See [11], §3. □

We now split the exact sequence of the proposition into two short exact sequences of G-modules as follows:

$$1 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to T(K) \to T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to 1 \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$1 \to T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w)) \to C_{T,K,S_K} \to 0.$$

These sequences induce connecting homomorphisms

$$\partial_1 \colon \big(T(K)/\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big)^G \to H^1\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big) \tag{4.3}$$

[4]Keeping this in mind while reading Sections 4 and 5 should serve as a guide for the reader.

and

$$\partial_2 \colon C^G_{T,K,S_K} \to H^1\big(G, T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big). \tag{4.4}$$

For a general description of these homomorphisms, see [1], p.97. Set

$$(C_{T,K,S_K})^G_{\mathrm{trans}} = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\partial_2\,).$$

From the general description of ∂_2 just mentioned, it is not difficult to check that the image of the capitulation map $j_{T,K/F,S} \colon C_{T,F,S} \to C^G_{T,K,S_K}$ is contained in $(C_{T,K,S_K})^G_{\mathrm{trans}}$. Thus $j_{T,K/F,S}$ induces a map

$$j'_{T,\,K/F,S} \colon C_{T,F,S} \to (C_{T,K,S_K})^G_{\mathrm{trans}} \tag{4.5}$$

such that $\mathrm{Ker}\, j'_{T,K/F,S} = \mathrm{Ker}\, j_{T,\,K/F,S}$.

For each $v \notin S$, we will identify the G-module $\bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w))$ with the G-module induced by the G_{w_v}-module $\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))$, where w_v is the prime of K lying above v fixed previously and $G_{w_v} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_{w_v}/F_v)$. By Shapiro's lemma,

$$H^i\big(G, \textstyle\bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w))\big) = H^i(G_{w_v}, \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)))$$

for every $i \geq 0$. Further, since I_{w_v} acts trivially on $\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))$, we have

$$\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G_{w_v}} = \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)},$$

where $G(w_v) = \mathrm{Gal}(k(w_v)/k(v))$. Thus

$$\big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w))\big)^G = \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)}.$$

There exists a canonical map $\Phi_v(k(v)) \to \big(\bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w))\big)^G$, and therefore we obtain a map

$$\delta_v = \delta_{T,K/F,v} \colon \Phi_v(k(v)) \to \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)}. \tag{4.6}$$

We will write B for the set of non-archimedean primes of F where T has bad reduction.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\oplus_{v\notin S}\,\delta_v) = \bigoplus_{v\,\in\, B\setminus S} H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}}.$$

Proof. By [11], Lemma 3.3, for each $v \notin S$ there exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_v = H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}}$. Now, if T splits over F^{nr}_v (i.e., has multiplicative reduction at v [18], Proposition 1.1), then $\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta_v = 0$ by Hilbert's theorem 90. This yields the lemma. □

Remark 4.3. As shown in [11], proof of Lemma 3.3, $H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}}$ is canonically isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Phi_v(k(v))_{\mathrm{tors}}$. It follows that $\bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}} = 0$ if $\Phi_v(k(v))_{\mathrm{tors}} = 0$ for every $v \in B \setminus S$.

Next we describe $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\oplus_{v\notin S}\,\delta_v)$ under the assumption that T_K has multiplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$, i.e., S_K contains all primes of bad reduction for T_K or, equivalently, $I_{\overline{w}}$ acts trivially on X for every $w\notin S_K$ (see [18], Proposition 1.1)[5]. Note that the above assumption is trivially satisfied if K splits T. In general, $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\oplus_{v\notin S}\,\delta_v)$ fits into a 5-term exact sequence (which is omitted).

Let $v\notin S$. The inertia group $I_{\overline{v}}$ acts on the G_F-module X through a finite quotient $J_{\overline{v}}$ (say) and there exists a canonical map

$$\mathrm{Nm}_v\colon X\to X^{I_{\overline{v}}}, \chi\mapsto \sum_{g\in J_{\overline{v}}}\chi^g.$$

Let $\widehat{T}_v$ be the F_v-torus which corresponds to the subgroup $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{Nm}_v$ of X. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence

$$0\to T'_v\to T_{F_v}\to \widehat{T}_v\to 0,$$

where T'_v is the largest subtorus of T_{F_v} having multiplicative reduction. See [18], Proposition 1.2. In particular, $\widehat{T}_v=0$ if T has multiplicative reduction at v. We will write Φ'_v (resp., $\widehat{\Phi}_v$) for the sheaf of connected components of the Néron-Raynaud model of T'_v (resp., $\widehat{T}_v$). Let

$$C_{T,F_v}=\mathrm{Coker}\,[T(F_v)\xrightarrow{\vartheta_v}\Phi_v(k(v))], \tag{4.7}$$

where ϑ_v is the generalization of ord_v introduced above. There exists a canonical exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T'_v(F_v) & \longrightarrow & T(F_v) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{T}_v(F_v)\\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta'_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{\vartheta}_v}\\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi'_v(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi_v(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\Phi}_v(k(v)) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

(For the exactness of the bottom row, see [3], p.288, line 2). By [3], Proposition 3.2, the map ϑ'_v is surjective. We conclude that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$C_{T,F_v}=\mathrm{Coker}\,[T(F_v)\to\widehat{\Phi}_v(k(v))],$$

where the map involved is the composite $T(F_v)\to\widehat{T}_v(F_v)\xrightarrow{\widehat{\vartheta}_v}\widehat{\Phi}_v(k(v))$. In particular, $C_{T,F_v}=0$ if $v\notin B$.

Now, since T_K has multiplicative reduction at w_v by assumption, the map $\vartheta_{w_v}\colon T(K_{w_v})\to\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))$ is surjective. It follows that there exists a

[5]The argument that follows is a generalization of the proof of [10], Lemma 2.3.

canonical exact commutative diagram[6]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T(F_v) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_v} & \Phi_v(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & C_{T,F_v} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_v} & & \downarrow & & \\ T(F_v) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\vartheta}_{w_v}} & \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{w_v}} & H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

where the bottom row is part of the G_{w_v}-cohomology sequence induced by the exact sequence $0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}) \to T(K_{w_v}) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{w_v}} \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)) \to 0$ and

$$H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' := \operatorname{Ker}\Big[H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})) \to H^1(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))\Big]. \tag{4.9}$$

The map $\tilde{\vartheta}_{w_v}$ is the restriction of ϑ_{w_v} to $T(F_v) \subset T(K_{w_v})$ and ∂_{w_v} is induced by the connecting homomorphism $\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)} \to H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))$. Let

$$\overline{C}_{T,F_v} = \operatorname{Coker}[\operatorname{Ker}\delta_v \to C_{T,F_v}], \tag{4.10}$$

where the map involved is induced by the projection $\Phi_v(k(v)) \to C_{T,F_v}$. Applying the snake lemma to the diagram which is derived from (4.8) by replacing both instances of $T(F_v)$ there by their images in their target groups, we obtain the following generalization of [10], Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that T_K has multiplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{v \in B \setminus S} \overline{C}_{T,F_v} \to \bigoplus_{v \notin S} H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' \xrightarrow{\oplus \psi_v} \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \operatorname{Coker}\delta_v \to 0,$$

where the groups $\overline{C}_{T,F_v}$ and $H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))'$ are given by (4.10) *and* (4.9) *and the map ψ_v is defined as follows: if $\xi_{w_v} \in H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))'$, then $\psi_v(\xi_{w_v})$ is the element of* $\operatorname{Coker}\delta_v$ *which is represented by any element of $\partial_{w_v}^{-1}(\xi_{w_v})$, where ∂_{w_v} is the connecting homomorphism appearing in diagram* (4.8). □

Now there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T(F)/\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) & \twoheadrightarrow & C_{T,F,S} \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus_{v\notin S}\delta_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j'_{T,K/F,S}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \big(T(K)/\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)^G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\vartheta}_S} & \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))^{G(w_v)} & \twoheadrightarrow & (C^G_{T,K,S_K})_{\mathrm{trans}} \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

[6]The commutativity of the left-hand rectangle generalizes the formula "$\operatorname{ord}_{w_v}(x) = e_v \operatorname{ord}_v(x)$ $(x \in F_v^*)$". Compare (4.8) with the diagram in [10], proof of Lemma 2.3.

where γ is induced by the inclusion $T(F) \hookrightarrow T(K)$, $j'_{T,K/F,S}$ is the map (4.5) and $\overline{\vartheta}_S$ is induced by (4.1). By [11], proof of Lemma 3.7,

$$\operatorname{Ker}\gamma = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)^G/\mathcal{T}^\circ(U).$$

Thus, recalling that $\operatorname{Ker} j'_{T,K/F,S} = \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S}$ and using Lemma 4.2, the snake lemma applied to (4.11) yields both an exact sequence

(4.12)
$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)^G/\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K_{w_v}^{\mathrm{nr}}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}} &\to \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} \\ &\to \operatorname{Ker}\overline{\vartheta}_S \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

and an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Coker}\overline{\vartheta}'_S = \operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S}\,, \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\overline{\vartheta}'_S\colon \operatorname{Coker}\gamma \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\operatorname{Coker}\delta_v. \tag{4.14}$$

is induced by $\overline{\vartheta}_S$.

To describe (4.14), we first note that (4.3) induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\partial}_1\colon \operatorname{Coker}\gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)'$$

where

$$H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' := \operatorname{Ker}\Big[H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to H^1(G,T(K))\Big].$$

Let

$$\lambda_S\colon \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v},\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' \tag{4.15}$$

be the natural localization map. Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Coker}\gamma & \xrightarrow{\ \overline{\vartheta}'_S\ } & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\operatorname{Coker}\delta_v \\ \simeq\big\downarrow \overline{\partial}_1 & & \big\uparrow \oplus_{v\notin S}\psi_v \\ H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' & \xrightarrow{\ \lambda_S\ } & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v},\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))', \end{array}$$

where the maps ψ_v are defined in the statement of Proposition 4.4. In other words, $\overline{\vartheta}'_S = \oplus_{v\notin S}\psi_v \circ \lambda_S \circ \overline{\partial}_1$. This may be checked by using the definitions of λ_S and ψ_v and the general description of the connecting homomorphisms ∂_{w_v} (from diagram (4.8)) and (4.3). Now write

$$\operatorname{Ker}\lambda_S = \ Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)'$$

and

$$\operatorname{Coker}\lambda_S = \ Ч^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)'.$$

Then

$$Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' = \operatorname{Ker}\Big[\,Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to\ Ш^1_S\big(G,T(K)\big)\Big],$$

where

$$Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) = \operatorname{Ker}\left[\,H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v},\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))\right]$$

and

$$Ш^1_S\big(G,T(K)\big) = \operatorname{Ker}\left[\,H^1(G,T(K)) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v},T(K_{w_v}))\right].$$

Applying the snake lemma to the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^1\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' & \xrightarrow{\overline{\partial}_1^{-1}} & \operatorname{Coker}\gamma & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\vartheta}'_S} & & \\
\displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} \overline{C}_{T,F_v} & \hookrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v},\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})) & \xrightarrow{\oplus\psi_v} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S} \operatorname{Coker}\delta_v & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

and using (4.13), we obtain the following exact sequence

(4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to\ Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \to \operatorname{Ker}\overline{\vartheta}'_S \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} \overline{C}_{T,F_v} \ &\to\ \text{Ч}^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \\ &\to \operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

We collect together (4.12) and (4.16) in the following statement.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that T_K has multiplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$. Then there exist canonical exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)^G/\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(I_{w_v},T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}} \ &\to\ \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} \\ &\to\ \operatorname{Ker}\overline{\vartheta}'_S \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to\ Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \to \operatorname{Ker}\overline{\vartheta}'_S \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} \overline{C}_{T,F_v} \ &\to\ \text{Ч}^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \\ &\to \operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\vartheta}'_S$ is the map (4.14)*, $Ш^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)'$ (resp., $\text{Ч}^1_S\big(G,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)'$) is the kernel (resp., cokernel) of the natural localization map* (4.15) *and the groups $\overline{C}_{T,F_v}$ are given by* (4.10)*.* □

The following immediate corollary of the proposition generalizes [10], Theorem 2.4 (note that, if $S \supset B$, then T_K has multiplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$ since T has multiplicative reduction over U):

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $S \supset B$. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} \to H^1\big(G, \widetilde{T}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big)' \xrightarrow{\lambda_S} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{T}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S} \to 0,$$

where λ_S is the canonical localization map (4.15). □

Remark 4.7. If $S \supset B$, then Proposition 4.4 shows that

$$\bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^1(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{T}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}))' = \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \operatorname{Coker} \delta_v.$$

Now, for each $v \notin S$, $\operatorname{Coker} \delta_v$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/e_v)^{d_v}$, where d_v is the dimension of the largest split subtorus of T_{F_v} (see [11], p.1157). Thus the theorem shows that $[\operatorname{Coker} j'_{T,K/F,S}]$ divides $\prod_{v\notin S} e_v^{d_v}$.

When T is an *invertible* F-torus, $\operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S}$ admits a simple description independently of the hypothesis $S \supset B$ (see Proposition 4.9 below).

Lemma 4.8. *Let T be an invertible F-torus and let $v \notin S$. Then the following hold.*

(a) $H^1(F, T) = 0$.
(b) $R^1 j_* T = 0$ *for the smooth topology on* U.
(c) $\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)$ *is torsion-free.*
(d) $H^1(k(v), \Phi_v) = 0$.
(e) $\overline{C}_{T,v} = 0$.

Proof. Since T is a direct factor of a quasi-trivial F-torus, it suffices to prove the lemma when $T = R_{L/F}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L})$ for some finite separable extension L/F. In this case (a), (b) and (c) follow from Hilbert's Theorem 90, [4], Theorem 4.2.2, p.78, and [32], Lemma 2.6, respectively. Now $T_{F_v} = \prod_{w|v} R_{L_w/F_v}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L_w})$, where the product extends over all primes w of L lying above v. Therefore, by [4], p.34, there exists an isomorphism of $G_{k(v)}$-modules

$$\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) = \bigoplus_{w|v} \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{k(v)}}^{G_{k(w)}} \mathbb{Z}\,.$$

Thus $H^i\big(k(v), \Phi_v\big) = \bigoplus_{w|v} H^i\big(k(w), \mathbb{Z}\big)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and (d) follows. Further, the map $\vartheta_v \colon T(F_v) \to \Phi_v(k(v))$ may be identified with the map

$$\prod_{w|v} \operatorname{ord}_w \colon \prod_{w|v} L_w^* \to \prod_{w|v} \mathbb{Z}\,,$$

which is surjective. Thus $C_{T,v} = 0$, whence (e) holds. □

Proposition 4.9. *Let T be an invertible F-torus and assume that T_K has multiplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} = Ш^1_S\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big).$$

Proof. By part (c) of the lemma and Remark 4.3,

$$\bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(I_{w_v}, T\big(K^{\mathrm{nr}}_{w_v}\big)\big)^{G_{k(v)}} = 0.$$

The proposition now follows from Proposition 4.5 using parts (a) and (e) of the lemma. □

We conclude this Section by establishing a generalization of Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Let V be the largest open subscheme of U such that $\mathcal{T}^\circ_V := j^*\mathcal{T}^\circ$ is a torus, where $j\colon V \to U$ is the canonical inclusion. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence of smooth sheaves on U

$$0 \to j_!\mathcal{T}^\circ_V \to \mathcal{T}^\circ \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} (i_v)_*\mathcal{T}^\circ_v \to 0,$$

where $j_!$ is the extension-by-zero functor and, for each $v \in B\setminus S$, $\mathcal{T}^\circ_v := i_v^*\mathcal{T}^\circ$. See [30], Proposition 8.2.1, p.142. Consequently, there exists a canonical exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ_V(V) \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} \mathcal{T}^\circ_v(k(v)). \tag{4.17}$$

Each $\mathcal{T}^\circ_v$ is an affine, *connected*, smooth group scheme over the finite field $k(v)$. Thus $\mathcal{T}^\circ_v(k(v))$ is finite for every $v \in B \setminus S$ and (4.17) shows that $\mathcal{T}^\circ_V(V)$ and $\mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$ have the same $\mathbb{Z}$-rank. The following result generalizes Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *We have*

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(\mathcal{T}^\circ(U)\big) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(X^{G_F}\big)\big(\#(S\cup B) - 1\big).$$

Proof. As noted above, $\mathcal{T}^\circ_V(V)$ and $\mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$ have the same $\mathbb{Z}$-rank. By [11], proof of Corollary 3.8,

$$\mathcal{T}^\circ_V(V) = \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{G_F}, \mathcal{O}^*_{F,S\cup B}\right).$$

The result is now immediate from the classical Dirichlet Unit Theorem. □

Remark 4.11. Let F be a number field. In [28], a generalization of Dirichlet's Unit Theorem was obtained for any F-torus T using adelic methods and (implicitly) the standard model of T. The result is comparable to the above, but the proof is significantly more involved.

5. The capitulation cokernel

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.2 (this is Theorem 5.4 below).

We assume that K splits T. In particular, the action of G_F on X factors through G and $X^{G_F} = X^G$.

For any prime v of F, $T_{K_{w_v}}$ is a split torus. Thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v}) \to T(K_{w_v}) \to \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)) \to 0,$$

where $\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))$ is a free abelian group with trivial G_{w_v}-action. It follows that there exists an exact sequence

(5.1)
$$0 \to H^2(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})) \to H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v})) \xrightarrow{\pi_v} H^2(G_{w_v}, \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))),$$

where π_v is induced by the projection $T(K_{w_v}) \to \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))$. Further, the map $\partial_2 \colon C^G_{T,K,S_K} \to H^1\big(G, T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big)$ given by (4.4) is surjective. Let

$$\pi \colon H^1(G, T(K)) \to H^1\big(G, T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big) \tag{5.2}$$

be induced by the projection map $T(K) \to T(K)/\,\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)$. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to \partial_2^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\pi) \to C^G_{T,\,K,\,S_K} \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \operatorname{Coker}\pi \to 0.$$

Let $\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S}$ be the composite

$$C_{T,F,S} \xrightarrow{j'_{T,\,K/F,S}} (C_{T,K,S_K})^G_{\mathrm{trans}} \hookrightarrow \partial_2^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\pi), \tag{5.3}$$

where $j'_{T,\,K/F,S}$ is the map (4.5) and the second map is the canonical inclusion of $(C_{T,K,S_K})^G_{\mathrm{trans}} = \operatorname{Ker}\partial_2$ into $\partial_2^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\pi)$. Then the following holds (cf. [10], Proposition 2.2).

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that K splits T. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker}\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S} \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{T,\,K/F,S} \to \operatorname{Coker}\pi \to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S}$ and π are the maps (5.3) *and* (5.2)*, respectively.* □

Now define

$$B_S(G,T) = \operatorname{Ker}\left[H^2(G, T(K)) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} H^2(G_{w_v}, \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)))\right], \tag{5.4}$$

where the map involved is induced by (4.1). Then the following holds (cf. [10], Proposition 3.1)

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that K splits T. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & \operatorname{Coker} \widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S} \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{T,\,K/F,S} \to H^2\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to B_S(G,T) \\ \to\; & H^1\big(G, C_{T,K,S_K}\big) \to H^3\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S}$ is the map (5.3) *and $B_S(G,T)$ is the group* (5.4).

Proof. The exact sequence (4.2) induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & \operatorname{Coker} \pi \to H^2\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to H^2(G, T(K)) \\ \to\; & H^2\big(G, T(K)/\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big) \to H^3\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \end{aligned}$$

which generalizes [13], p.189, line -1. Now essentially the same argument given in [13], pp.189-191, to derive the exact sequence [13], p.191, line 5, yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & \operatorname{Coker} \pi \to H^2\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to B_S(G,T) \to H^1\big(G, C_{T,K,S_K}\big) \\ \to\; & H^3\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition now follows from the previous lemma. □

Since $Ш^2(G, T(K)) = Ш^2(T)$ by [26], Lemma 1.9[7], the definition of $B_S(G,T)$ shows that there exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & Ш^2(F,T) \to B_S(G,T) \to H^2(G,T(K))/Ш^2(F,T) \\ \to\; & \bigoplus_{v \notin S} H^2(G_{w_v}, \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, there exists a canonical exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(F,T)/Ш^2(F,T) & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} H^2(F_v,T) & \twoheadrightarrow & (X^G)^D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(K,T)^G & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} H^2(K_{w_v},T)^{G_{w_v}} & \to & (X_G)^D. \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

For the exactness of the top row, see [21], Theorem 2.7(b), p.52. The bottom row is the beginning of the long G-cohomology sequence associated to the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(K,T) \to \bigoplus_{\text{all } w} H^2(K_w,T) \to X^D \to 0$$

[7]The proof of this lemma is valid in the function field case as well.

(the latter sequence is the direct sum of $\dim T$ copies of the well-known exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Br}(K) \to \bigoplus_{\text{all } w} \mathrm{Br}(K_w) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$). The first two vertical maps in (5.6) are induced by the restriction map. Their kernels are $H^2(G, T(K))/Ш^2(F,T)$ and $\bigoplus_{\text{all } v} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))$, respectively (see [27], Proposition 5, p.117). The right-hand vertical map in (5.6) is the dual of the norm map $X_G \to X^G$, whose cokernel is $\widehat{H}^0(G,X)$ (by definition). Thus (5.6) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(G,T(K))/Ш^2(F,T) \to \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v})) \to \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D.$$

The preceding exact sequence is the top row of a commutative diagram (5.7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^2(G,T(K))/Ш^2(F,T) & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v})) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi & & \\ & & \bigoplus_{v \notin S} H^2(G_{w_v}, \Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))), & & \end{array}$$

where the map π has v-components π_v if $v \notin S$ (see (5.1)) and 0 otherwise. For any v, set

$$H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))' = \begin{cases} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v})) & \text{if } v \in S \\ H^2(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})) & \text{if } v \notin S \end{cases} . \tag{5.8}$$

Further, let R denote the set of non-archimedean primes of F which ramify in K. Then $H^2(G_{w_v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})) = 0$ if $v \notin R$ (this is a well-known fact for the split K-torus T_K. See, e.g., [27]). We conclude that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\ \pi = \bigoplus_{v \in S \cup R} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))'.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the kernel of the oblique map in (5.7) is the same as the kernel of the map $\mathrm{Ker}\ \pi \to \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D$ induced by the right-hand horizontal map in (5.7). Combining this information with (5.5), we obtain the following generalization of [10], Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that K splits T. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to Ш^2(T) \to B_S(G,T) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S \cup R} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))' \to \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D,$$

where $B_S(G,T)$ is the group (5.4) *and the groups $H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))'$ are given by* (5.8).

We now combine Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 to obtain the following generalization of [10], Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that K splits T and let R be the set of primes of F which ramify in K. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \ \to \ \operatorname{Coker} \widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S} \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{T,\,K/F,S} \to H^2\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big) \to B_S(G,T)$$

$$\to \ H^1\big(G, C_{T,K,S_K}\big) \to H^3\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\widetilde{U})\big),$$

where $\widetilde{\jmath}'_{T,\,K/F,S}$ is the map (5.3) *and the group $B_S(G,T)$ fits into an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \ Ш^2(T) \to B_S(G,T) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S \cup R} H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))' \to \widehat{H}^0(G,X)^D.$$

Here, the groups $H^2(G_{w_v}, T(K_{w_v}))'$ are given by (5.8). □

6. Invertible resolutions and class groups

The following result was stated as Theorem 1.3 in the Introduction.

Theorem 6.1. *Let T be an F-torus which admits an invertible resolution $0 \to T_1 \to Q \to T \to 0$, where T_1 is invertible and Q is quasi-trivial. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be the Néron-Raynaud models of T_1, Q and T over U, respectively. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_1^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{Q}^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to C_{T_1,F,S} \to C_{Q,\,F,S} \to C_{T,\,F,S} \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $v \notin S$. By Lemma 4.8, parts (b) and (c), and [4], Theorem 5.3.1, p.99, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Phi_v(T_1)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) \to \Phi_v(Q)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) \to \Phi_v(T)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) \to 0.$$

By Lemma 4.8(d), the preceding exact sequence induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Phi_v(T_1)(k(v)) \to \Phi_v(Q)(k(v)) \to \Phi_v(T)(k(v)) \to 0.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.8(a), there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1(F) \to Q(F) \to T(F) \to 0.$$

Thus there exists a canonical exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_1(F) & \longrightarrow & Q(F) & \longrightarrow & T(F) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(T_1)(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(Q)(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(T)(k(v)),
\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the maps (4.1) for the F-tori T_1, Q and T. The theorem now follows by applying the snake lemma to the above diagram using the analog of Proposition 4.1 over F. □

Remark 6.2. Since both T_1 and Q are direct factors of quasi-trivial tori, their S-class groups $C_{T_1,F,S}$ and $C_{Q,\,F,S}$ can be described in terms of S-ideal class groups of global fields. Thus the theorem may be interpreted as saying that $C_{T,\,F,S}$ can be "resolved" in terms of classical objects.

We now develop some applications of the theorem.

We begin by examining the behavior of the maps ϑ_v introduced in Section 4 under the Weil restriction functor (see [2], §7.6, for basic information on this functor). Let T be any F-torus and let $T' = R_{K/F}(T_K)$, where K/F is a finite separable extension. For any prime $v \notin S$, $T'_{F_v} = \prod_{w|v} R_{K_w/F_v}(T_{K_w})$, where the product extends over all primes w of K lying above v. Therefore, by [4], p.34, there exists an isomorphism of $G_{k(v)}$-modules

$$\Phi_v\big(T'\big)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) = \bigoplus_{w|v} \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{k(v)}}^{G_{k(w)}} \Phi_w(T_K)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big).$$

Thus $H^i\big(k(v), \Phi_v\big(T'\big)\big) = \bigoplus_{w|v} H^i\big(k(w), \Phi_w(T_K)\big)$ for all $i \geq 0$. In particular, $\Phi_v(T')(k(v)) = \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(T_K)(k(w))$ and it follows that the map $\vartheta'_v \colon T'(F_v) \to \Phi_v(T')(k(v))$ may be identified with the map

$$\bigoplus_{w|v} \vartheta_w \colon \prod_{w|v} T(K_w) \to \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(T_K)(k(w)).$$

Now there exists a canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(F_v) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_v} & \Phi_v(T)(k(v)) \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_v} \\ \displaystyle\prod_{w|v} T(K_w) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \vartheta_w} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(T_K)(k(w)), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the canonical embedding $T \hookrightarrow T'$ and the identification $\Phi_v(T')(k(v)) = \bigoplus_{w|v} \Phi_w(T_K)(k(w))$. Thus $\vartheta'_v = \oplus_{w|v} \vartheta_w$ induces a map

$$\overline{\vartheta}'_v \colon \prod_{w|v} T(K_w)/T(F_v) \to \mathrm{Coker}\, \mu_v.$$

Let $\varphi_{T,S}$ be the composite

$$T(K)/T(F) \to \prod_{v \notin S} \Big[\textstyle\prod_{w|v} T(K_w)/T(F_v)\Big] \xrightarrow{\oplus \overline{\vartheta}'_v} \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \mathrm{Coker}\, \mu_v, \tag{6.1}$$

where the first map is the canonical S-localization map.

When $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$, the map μ_v agrees with the injection $\mathbb{Z} \to \oplus_{w|v} \mathbb{Z}$, $m \mapsto (e_w m)_{w|v}$ (this follows from the formula "$\mathrm{ord}_w(x) = e_w \mathrm{ord}_v(x)$ for $x \in F_v^*$" already cited) and $\varphi_S := \varphi_{\mathbb{G}_m,S}$ is the map

$$K^*/F^* \to \bigoplus_{v \notin S} (\oplus_{w|v} \mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z} \tag{6.2}$$

induced by the maps $K^* \to \oplus_{w|v} \mathbb{Z}$, $x \mapsto (\mathrm{ord}_w(x))_{w|v}$, for $v \notin S$.

Now let T be any invertible F-torus and let K be a finite Galois extension of F (with Galois group G) such that T_K is quasi-trivial (T now plays the role

of the torus called T_1 in the statement of Theorem 6.1). Then the quotient F-torus $P := R_{K/F}(T_K)/T$ admits the canonical invertible resolution

$$0 \to T \to R_{K/F}(T_K) \to P \to 0.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the Néron-Raynaud model of T_K over $\widetilde{U}$. Then the identity component of the Néron-Raynaud model of $R_{K/F}(T_K)$ over U is $R_{\widetilde{U}/U}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ)$ (see Section 2). Further, by Remark 2.2, the Néron-Raynaud S-class group of $R_{K/F}(T_K)$ is canonically isomorphic to C_{T,K,S_K}. Thus the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.3. *Let T be an invertible F-torus, let K be a finite Galois extension of F such that T_K is quasi-trivial and let $P = R_{K/F}(T_K)/T$. Write $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{P}$, respectively, for the Néron-Raynaud models of T and P over U and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ be the Néron-Raynaud model of T_K over $\widetilde{U}$. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to \mathcal{P}^\circ(U) \to C_{T,F,S} \to C_{T,K,S_K} \to C_{P,F,S} \to 0. \quad \square$$

The map $C_{T,F,S} \to C_{T,K,S_K}$ appearing in the exact sequence of the corollary is the composite of the capitulation map (2.1) and the canonical inclusion $C^G_{T,K,S_K} \hookrightarrow C_{T,K,S_K}$ (see Section 2). On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(U)$ is the kernel of the map $P(F) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(P)(k(v))$, and the proof of Theorem 6.1 shows that the latter map can be identified with the map (6.1). Thus the following holds.

Corollary 6.4. *Let T be an invertible F-torus, let K be a finite Galois extension of F such that T_K is quasi-trivial and let $P = R_{K/F}(T_K)/T$. Let $j_{T,K/F,S}\colon C_{T,F,S} \to C^G_{T,K,S_K}$ be the S-capitulation map for T. Then there exist canonical exact sequences*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{T,K/F,S} \to C_{P,F,S} \to C_{T,K,S_K}/C^G_{T,K,S_K} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)/\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to \operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{T,S} \to \operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S} \to 0,$$

where $\varphi_{T,S}$ is the map (6.1).

Remark 6.5. S.-I.Katayama [14], Theorem 2, and V.Voskresenskii [31], Chapter 7, §20, obtained results for the class group of the standard model of $P = R_{K/F}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})/\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ which bear some resemblance to the case $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ of Corollary 6.4.

Specializing Corollary 6.4 to $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,\,F}$, we obtain the following result on the classical S-capitulation map $j_{K/F,S}$ which supplements those obtained in [10].

Corollary 6.6. *Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of global fields with Galois group G and let $P = R_{K/F}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})/\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ be the projective group torus. Then there exist canonical exact sequences*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker} j_{K/F,S} \to C_{P,F,S} \to C_{K,S_K}/C^G_{K,S_K} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^*_{K,S_K}/\mathcal{O}^*_{F,S} \to \operatorname{Ker}\left[K^*/F^* \xrightarrow{\varphi_S} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} (\oplus_{w|v}\mathbb{Z})/\mathbb{Z} \right] \to \operatorname{Ker} j_{K/F,S} \to 0,$$

where φ_S is the map (6.2). □

Now let T be an arbitrary F-torus. The *Ono invariants* of T are defined as follows. Choose a flasque resolution

$$0 \to T_1 \to Q_1 \to T \to 0$$

and set

$$E_{f,S}(T) = h_{Q_1,F,S}/h_{T_1,F,S}h_{T,F,S}.$$

Then $E_{f,S}(T)$ is in fact independent of the flasque resolution of T used to define it and depends only on S and T (see [31], p.50, lines 18-28). Similarly, if $0 \to T \to Q_2 \to T_2 \to 0$ is a coflasque resolution of T, then

$$E_{c,S}(T) = h_{Q_2,F,S}/h_{T_2,F,S}h_{T,F,S}$$

is an invariant of the pair S, T[8]. The latter invariant was studied in [14, 17, 22, 25], for T equal either to a norm or a binorm torus, using certain integral models of T and the canonical coflasque resolutions that define these tori. The invariant $E_{f,S}(T)$ was computed in [14], §4, for $T = R_{K/F}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K})/\mathbb{G}_{m,F}$, where F is a number field, using the standard model of T and the canonical flasque resolution that defines this torus.

Theorem 6.7. *Let T be an invertible F-torus and let K be a finite Galois extension of F, with Galois group G, such that T_K is quasi-trivial and has multplicative reduction over $\widetilde{U}$. Let $P = R_{K/F}(T_K)/T$. Then*

$$E_{f,S}(P) = \left[Ш^1_S\big(G, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big)\right]^{-1}.$$

Proof. Corollary 6.3 and the comment that follows it show that $E_{f,S}(P) = [\operatorname{Ker} j_{T,K/F,S}]^{-1}$, where $j_{T,K/F,S}$ is the S-capitulation map for the torus T. The theorem now follows from Proposition 4.9. □

7. Flasque resolutions and class groups

As noted in the Introduction, every F-torus T admits a flasque resolution

$$0 \to T_1 \to Q \to T \to 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where Q is quasi-trivial and T_1 is flasque. Since, in general, the induced sequence of F-rational points $0 \to T_1(F) \to Q(F) \to T(F) \to 0$ will not be exact and the sheaf $R^1j_*T_1$ will not be trivial for the smooth topology on U, it is unreasonable to expect that (7.1) will induce simple resolutions of $C_{T,F,S}$ such as that of Theorem 6.1 (see the proof of that theorem).

[8] Even though the duality $T \mapsto T^\vee$ transforms flasque resolutions of T into coflasque resolutions of the dual torus $T^\vee$, and viceversa, it is unlikely that the equality $E_{f,S}(T) = E_{c,S}\big(T^\vee\big)$ will hold for an arbitrary torus T.

However, we will see below that (7.1) does induce (at least under a certain simplifying assumption) a resolution of $C_{T,F,S}$ which is interesting in spite of its increased complexity.

We begin by reviewing the concept of R-equivalence on tori. Let T be an F-torus. Two points $x, y \in T(F)$ are said to be *R-equivalent*, written $x \sim y$, if there exists an F-rational map $f\colon \mathbb{A}^1_F \dashrightarrow T$, defined at 0 and 1, such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(1) = y$[9]. If A is any subgroup of $T(F)$, then the subset of A of all points which are R-equivalent to 1 is in fact a subgroup and will be denoted by RA. We will write A/R for A/RA. Then any flasque resolution (7.1) induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_1(F) \to Q(F) \to RT(F) \to 0 \tag{7.2}$$

and an isomorphism

$$T(F)/R = H^1(F, T_1).$$

See [5], Theorem 2, p.199, or [31], §17.1. Now recall the map $\vartheta_S\colon T(F) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v))$ defined at the beginning of Section 4. It induces a map

$$\vartheta_S^R\colon RT(F) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) \tag{7.3}$$

whose cokernel will be denoted by $C^R_{T,F,S}$ and called the *R-equivalence class group* of T. Thus $C^R_{T,F,S}$ is defined by the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \to R\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to RT(F) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_S^R} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) \to C^R_{T,F,S} \to 0. \tag{7.4}$$

Clearly, if R-equivalence is *trivial* on $T(F)$ (as is the case, for example, if T admits an invertible resolution by Lemma 4.8(a)), then $C^R_{T,F,S}$ and $C_{T,F,S}$ coincide. In general, the following holds.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists an exact sequence of finite abelian groups*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U)/R \to T(F)/R \to C^R_{T,F,S} \to C_{T,F,S} \to 0,$$

where $C^R_{T,F,S}$ is defined by (7.4).

Proof. This follows at once from the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & RT(F) & \longrightarrow & T(F) & \longrightarrow & T(F)/R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) & = & \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

and [5], Corollary 2, p.200 (for the finiteness statement). □

[9] This is in fact the definition of *strict* (or direct) R-equivalence. We are using the fact that, on a torus, R-equivalence and strict R-equivalence coincide [5], Theorem 2, p.199.

Remark 7.2. If T is split by a finite Galois extension of degree n and exponent e, then $T(F)/R$ is annihilated by n/e [5], Corollary 4, p.200. Thus $C^R_{T,F,S}$ and $C_{T,F,S}$ have the same p-primary components for every prime p which does not divide n/e.

Now, for each $v \notin S$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)_{\rm tors} \to \Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big) \to \Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/{\rm tors} \to 0$$

and the isomorphism of $G_{k(v)}$-modules $\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)_{\rm tors} = H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)$ [11], proof of Lemma 3.3, induce an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)^{G_{k(v)}} \to \Phi_v(k(v)) \quad &\xrightarrow{\eta_v} \quad \big(\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/{\rm tors}\big)^{G_{k(v)}} \\ &\longrightarrow \quad H^1\big(k(v), H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if T splits over $F_v^{\rm nr}$, i.e., has multiplicative reduction at v, then $H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T) = 0$ by Hilbert's Theorem 90. Consequently, the preceding exact sequences induce an exact sequence

(7.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)^{G_{k(v)}} \quad &\hookrightarrow \quad \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) \xrightarrow{\eta_S} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \big(\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/{\rm tors}\big)^{G_{k(v)}} \\ &\to \quad \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(k(v), H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)\big), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_S = \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \eta_v$ and B is the set of primes of primes of F where T has bad reduction. Now let ϑ_S^* denote the composite

$$T(F) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_S} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)) \xrightarrow{\eta_S} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \big(\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/{\rm tors}\big)^{G_{k(v)}} \tag{7.6}$$

and set $C^*_{T,F,S} = {\rm Coker}\, \vartheta_S^*$. Thus $C^*_{T,F,S}$ is defined by the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to T(F) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_S^*} \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \big(\Phi_v\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/{\rm tors}\big)^{G_{k(v)}} \to C^*_{T,F,S} \to 0. \tag{7.7}$$

Lemma 7.3. *There exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to {\rm Ker}\, \vartheta_S^* \quad &\to \quad \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)^{G_{k(v)}} \to C_{T,F,S} \\ &\to \quad C^*_{T,F,S} \to \bigoplus_{v\in B\setminus S} H^1\big(k(v), H^1(F_v^{\rm nr}, T)\big), \end{aligned}$$

where ϑ_S^* is the map (7.6) *and $C^*_{T,F,S}$ is defined by* (7.7)*. In particular, if $S \supset B$, then $C^*_{T,F,S} = C_{T,F,S}$ and ${\rm Ker}\, \vartheta_S^* = \mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$.*

Proof. This follows from the kernel-cokernel exact sequence [16], Proposition I.0.24, p.19, associated to the pair of maps (7.6), using (7.5). □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $0 \to T_1 \to Q \to T \to 0$ be a flasque resolution of T. Assume that S contains all primes of F which are wildly ramified in the minimal splitting field of T_1. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^*_{S,1} \to \mathcal{Q}^\circ(U) \to R\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \ &\to \ C^*_{T_1,F,S} \to C_{Q,F,S} \to C^R_{T,F,S} \\ &\to \bigoplus_{v \in B_1 \setminus S} H^1(k(v), \Phi_v(T_1)/\mathrm{tors}) \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta^*_{S,1}$ and $C^*_{T_1,F,S}$ are given by (7.6) *and* (7.7) *for T_1, respectively, $C^R_{T,F,S}$ is the R-equivalence class group* (7.4) *and B_1 is the set of primes of F where T_1 has bad reduction.*

Proof. By the hypothesis and [4], Corollary 4.2.6, p.82, $R^1 j_* T_1 = 0$ for the smooth topology on U. Thus, by [4], Theorem 5.3.1, p.99, and Lemma 4.8(c) applied to Q, for each $v \notin S$ there exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to (\Phi_v(T_1)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/\mathrm{tors})^{G_{k(v)}} \ &\to \ \Phi_v(Q)(k(v)) \to \Phi_v(T)(k(v)) \\ &\to \ H^1(k(v), \Phi_v(T_1)/\mathrm{tors}) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $v \notin B_1$, then $\Phi_v(T_1)/\mathrm{tors} = X_1^\vee$ as $G_{k(v)}$-modules, where X_1 denotes the group of characters of T_1 [32], Corollary 2.18. On the other hand, there exists an injection $\mathrm{Inf}\colon H^1(k(v), X_1^\vee) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_v, X_1^\vee)$, and the latter group vanishes because X_1 is flasque [5], Lemma 1, p.179. We conclude that $H^1(k(v), \Phi_v(T_1)/\mathrm{tors}) = 0$ for every $v \notin B_1$. Thus there exists an exact sequence

(7.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{v \notin S} (\Phi_v(T_1)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/\mathrm{tors})^{G_{k(v)}} \ &\hookrightarrow \ \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(Q)(k(v)) \to \bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(T)(k(v)) \\ &\to \ \bigoplus_{v \in B_1 \setminus S} H^1(k(v), \Phi_v(T_1)/\mathrm{tors}) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_1(F) & \hookrightarrow & Q(F) & \twoheadrightarrow & RT(F) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta^*_{S,1}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta^R_S} \\ \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} (\Phi_v(T_1)\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/\mathrm{tors})^{G_{k(v)}} & \hookrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(Q)(k(v)) & \to & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v \notin S} \Phi_v(T)(k(v)) \end{array}$$

whose top row is (7.2), its bottom row consists of the first three terms of (7.8), the left-hand vertical map is (7.6) for the torus T_1 and the right-hand vertical map is (7.3). Applying the snake lemma to the above diagram and using (7.8), we immediately obtain the exact sequence of the theorem. □

The following corollary of the theorem is a variant of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 7.5. *Let the notations be as in the theorem. Assume that $S \supset B_1$. Then there exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_1^\circ(U) \to \mathcal{Q}^\circ(U) \to R\mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \to C_{T_1,F,S} \to C_{Q,F,S} \to C^R_{T,F,S} \to 0.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 7.4 using Lemma 7.3 for the torus T_1. □

Remark 7.6. We have not attempted to extend Theorem 7.4 by removing the hypothesis on S there because we believe that the result would be too complicated to be of much use (compare Theorem 5.3.1 on p.99 of [4] with the significantly more complicated Theorem 5.3.4 on p.101 of this reference). Perhaps a more sensible problem to address for an arbitrary torus T equipped with a flasque resolution (7.1) is the computation of the corresponding Ono invariant $E_{f,S}(T)$. As is often the case when computing numerical invariants, it seems reasonable to expect that some of the complications will "cancel out" in the course of the computation. But we do not pursue this matter here.

8. Norm tori

In this Section T is any F-torus and K/F is any finite Galois extension such that T_K is quasi-trivial. We keep the notations introduced in Section 2.

Define an F-torus T' by the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \to T' \to R_{K/F}(T_K) \xrightarrow{N} T \to 0, \tag{8.1}$$

where N is induced by the norm map $N_{K/F}\colon K^* \to F^*$. The torus T' is called the *norm* (or norm one) torus determined by T and K/F and is often denoted by $R^{(1)}_{K/F}(T_K)$. See, e.g., [4], Theorem 0.4.4, p.16. Clearly, (8.1) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to T'(F) \to T(K) \to N_{K/F}T(K) \to 0. \tag{8.2}$$

Now, for each $v \notin S$, N induces a map $N_v\colon \prod_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w)) \to \Phi_v(k(v))$ (see the discussion after Theorem 6.1) and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(K) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{S_K}} & \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \prod_{w|v} \Phi_w(k(w)) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle N_{K/F}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus N_v} \\ T(F) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_S} & \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \Phi_v(k(v)). \end{array}$$

Define

$$C^N_{T,F,S} = \operatorname{Coker}\left[N_{K/F}T(K) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \operatorname{Im} N_v\right], \tag{8.3}$$

where the map involved is induced by ϑ_S, and set

$$W_{T,F,S} = \mathcal{T}^\circ(U) \cap N_{K/F}T(K). \tag{8.4}$$

By Proposition 4.1 for T, there exists a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \to W_{T,F,S} \to N_{K/F}T(K) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S} \operatorname{Im} N_v \to C^N_{T,F,S} \to 0. \tag{8.5}$$

Further, there exist canonical maps

$$\nu\colon C_{T,K,S_K} \twoheadrightarrow C^N_{T,F,S} \tag{8.6}$$

and

$$\iota\colon C^N_{T,F,S} \to C_{T,F,S} \tag{8.7}$$

which are induced by $\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\bigoplus_{w|v}\Phi_w(k(w)) \xrightarrow{\oplus N_v} \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\operatorname{Im} N_v$ and the inclusion $\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\operatorname{Im} N_v \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\Phi_v(k(v))$, respectively. Then

$$\iota\circ\nu = N_{T,K/F,S}\colon C_{T,K,S_K} \to C_{T,F,S}$$

is norm map defined in Section 2 (this is a straightforward verification). Since ν is surjective, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\iota = N_{T,K/F,S}\, C_{T,K,S_K}. \tag{8.8}$$

Now define

$$Ш_{N,S}(T) = \operatorname{Ker}\left[\widehat{H}^0(G,T(K)) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\widehat{H}^0(G_{w_v},\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)))\right], \tag{8.9}$$

where the map involved is induced by ϑ_S.

Remark 8.1. Using the fact that $C_{T_K,w_v} = \widehat{H}^{-1}(G_{w_v},\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v))) = 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.8), it is not difficult to see that the above group is related to the more familiar one $\widehat{Ш}^0_S(G,T(K))$ by an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widehat{Ш}^0_S(G,T(K)) \to Ш_{N,S}(T) \to \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\widehat{H}^0(G_{w_v},\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ(\mathcal{O}_{w_v})).$$

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$1 \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U)/W_{T,F,S} \to Ш_{N,S}(T) \to C^N_{T,F,S} \xrightarrow{\iota} N_{T,K/F,S}\, C_{T,K,S_K} \to 0,$$

where $W_{T,F,S}$ is the group (8.4)*, $C^N_{T,F,S}$ is defined by* (8.3) *and ι is the map* (8.7)*.*

Proof. This follows by applying the snake lemma to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N_{K/F}T(K) & \hookrightarrow & T(F) & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{H}^0(G,T(K)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta_S} & & \downarrow \\
\displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\operatorname{Im} N_v & \hookrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\Phi_v(k(v)) & \twoheadrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\widehat{H}^0(G_{w_v},\Phi_{w_v}(k(w_v)))
\end{array}$$

and using (8.5), (8.8) and Proposition 4.1 for T. □

Lemma 8.3. *Assume that S contains all primes of F which are wildly ramified in the minimal splitting field of T'. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\big(\Phi_v(T')\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/\mathrm{tors}\big)^{G_{k(v)}} = \mathrm{Ker}\left[\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\prod_{w|v}\Phi_w(k(w)) \xrightarrow{\oplus N_v} \bigoplus_{v\notin S}\Phi_v(k(v))\right].$$

Proof. The hypothesis implies that $R^1j_*T' = 0$ for the smooth topology on U [4], Corollary 4.2.6, p.82. The lemma now follows by applying [4], Theorem 5.3.1, p.99, to the exact sequence (8.1) and using Lemma 4.8(c) for T_K. □

Theorem 8.4. *Assume that S contains all primes of F which are wildly ramified in the minimal splitting field of T'. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to W_{T,F,S}/N_{K/F}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to C^*_{T',F,S} \to C_{T,K,S_K} \xrightarrow{\nu} C^N_{T,F,S} \to 0,$$

where $W_{T,F,S}$ is the group (8.4)*, $C^*_{T',F,S}$ is given by* (7.6) *for T' and ν is the map* (8.6)*.*

Proof. This follows by applying the snake lemma to the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T'(F) & \hookrightarrow & T(K) & \xrightarrow{N_{K/F}} & N_{K/F}T(K) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta^*_{T',S}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vartheta_{S_K}} & & \downarrow \\
\displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}(\Phi_v(T')\big(\overline{k(v)}\big)/\mathrm{tors})^{G_{k(v)}} & \hookrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\prod_{w|v}\Phi_w(k(w)) & \xrightarrow{\oplus N_v} & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{v\notin S}\mathrm{Im}\,N_v,
\end{array}$$

where the top row is (8.2), the left-hand vertical map is (7.6) for the torus T' and the bottom row is exact by the previous lemma. □

Remark 8.5. The above diagram and Proposition 4.1 show that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\vartheta^*_{T',S} = \mathrm{Ker}\,N_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $N_{\mathcal{O}}\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to \mathcal{T}^\circ(U)$ is the restriction of $N_{K/F}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\circ\big(\widetilde{U}\big)$. Thus, if S satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem, then Lemma 7.3 yields an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \to (\mathcal{T}')^\circ(U) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,N_{\mathcal{O}} \;&\to \bigoplus_{v\in B'\setminus S} H^1(F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}, T')^{G_{k(v)}} \to C_{T',F,S} \\
&\to C^*_{T',F,S} \to \bigoplus_{v\in B'\setminus S} H^1\big(k(v), H^1(F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}, T')\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{T}'$ is the Néron-Raynaud model of T' over U and B' denotes the set of primes of F where T' has bad reduction. The groups $H^1(F_v^{\mathrm{nr}}, T')^{G_{k(v)}} =$

$\Phi_v(T')(k(v))_{\mathrm{tors}}$ were already considered in [11], §4, when $T = \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ and their orders were computed. See [11], Remark 4.4(b).

The above remark and the theorem immediately yield

Corollary 8.6. *Assume that S contains all primes of F where T' has bad reduction. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to W_{T,F,S}/N_{K/F}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\circ}\big(\widetilde{U}\big) \to C_{T',F,S} \to C_{T,K,S_K} \xrightarrow{\ \nu\ } C^N_{T,F,S} \to 0,$$

where $W_{T,F,S}$ is the group (8.4) *and ν is the map* (8.6). □

Assume now that T is *coflasque*. Then (8.1) is a coflasque resolution of T'. Using this fact and Lemma 8.2, the above corollary yields the following formula for the Ono invariant $E_{c,S}(T')$.

Corollary 8.7. *Let T be a coflasque F-torus, let K/F be a finite Galois extension such that T_K is quasi-trivial and let $T' = R^{(1)}_{K/F}(T_K)$ be the corresponding norm torus. Assume that S contains all primes of F where T' has bad reduction. Then*

$$E_{c,S}(T') = \frac{[Ш_{N,S}(T)]}{\big[\mathcal{T}^{\circ}(U)\colon N_{K/F}\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\circ}\big(\widetilde{U}\big)\big]\,[C_{T,F,S}\colon N_{T,K,S_K}C_{T,K,S_K}]},$$

where $Ш_{N,S}(T)$ is the group (8.9). □

References

[1] Atiyah, M. and Wall, C.T.C. *Cohomology of groups.* In: Algebraic Number Theory (J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Eds.), pp.94-115. Academic Press, London, 1967.

[2] Bosch, S., Lütkebohmert, W. and Raynaud, M. *Néron Models.* Springer Verlag, Berlin 1989.

[3] Bosch, S. and Liu, Q. *Rational points of the group of components of a Néron model.* Manuscripta Math. **98** (1999), 275-293 .

[4] Brahm, B. *Néron-Modelle algebraischer Tori.* Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster. 3. Serie 31. Münster: Univ. Münster, Mathematisches Institut; Münster: Univ. Münster, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik (Dissertation). x, 134 pp. (2003).

[5] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L. and Sansuc, J.-J.: *R-equivalence sur les tores.* Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4$^{\mathrm{e}}$ série, vol. **10** (1977), 175-229.

[6] Conrad, C. *Finiteness theorems for algebraic groups over function fields.* Available at http://math.stanford.edu/∼conrad/papers/cosetfinite.pdf

[7] Edixhoven, S., Liu, Q. and Lorenzini, D. *The p-part of the group of components of a Néron model.* J. Algebraic Geom. **5** (1996), 801-813.

[8] Elkik, R. *Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien.* Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4$^{\mathrm{e}}$ série, vol. **6** (1973), 553-603.

[9] Endo, S. and Miyata, T. *On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori.* Nagoya Math. J. **56** (1975), 85-104.

[10] González-Avilés, C.D. *Capitulation, ambiguous classes and the cohomology of the units.* J. reine angew. Math. **613** (2007), 75-97.

[11] González-Avilés, C.D. *Chevalley's ambiguous class number formula for an arbitrary torus.* Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 6, 1149-1165.

[12] González-Avilés, C.D. *Néron class groups and Tate-Shafarevich groups of abelian varieties.* Notes for a talk delivered at Leiden University on 1/12/2009. Available at www.math.leidenuniv.nl/∼astolk/monday/notes/gonzales-neron.pdf
[13] Iwasawa, K. *On cohomology groups of units for $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions* Amer. J. Math. **185** (1983), 189-200.
[14] Katayama, S.-I. *$E(K/k)$ and other arithmetical invariants for finite Galois extensions.* Nagoya Math. J. **114** (1989), 135-142.
[15] Kunyavskii, B. and Moroz, B. *On integral models of algebraic tori and affine toric varieties.* Available at http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/∼kunyav/publ.html
[16] Milne, J.S.: *Arithmetic Duality Theorems.* Persp. in Math., vol. 1. Academic Press Inc., Orlando 1986.
[17] Morishita, M. *On S-class number relations of algebraic tori in Galois extensions of global fields.* Nagoya Math. J. **124**, pp.133-144 (1991).
[18] Nart, E. and Xarles, X. *Additive reduction of algebraic tori.* Arch. Math. **57** (1991), 460-466.
[19] Nisnevich, Ye. *Etale Cohomology and Arithmetic of Semisimple Groups.* Thesis, Harvard University, 1982.
[20] Nisnevich, Ye. *The completely decomposed topology on schemes and associated descent spectral sequences in algebraic K-theory.* Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987). NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 279, pp. 241–342. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989.
[21] Oesterlé, J.: *Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotentes en caractérisque p.* Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 13-88.
[22] Ono, T.: *On some class number relations for Galois extensions* Nagoya Math. J. **107** (1987), 121-133.
[23] Platonov, V. and Rapinchuk, A.: *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory.* Academic Press Inc., Boston 1994.
[24] Rose, J.S. *A Course on Group Theory.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1978.
[25] Sasaki, R.: *Some remarks to Ono's theorem on a generalization of Gauss' genus theory.* Nagoya Math. J., **111** (1988), 131-142.
[26] Sansuc, J.-J.: *Groupe de Brauer et aritmétique de groupes algébriques linéares sur un corps de nombres.* J. reine angew. Math. **327** (1981), 12-80.
[27] Serre, J.-P. *Local Fields.* Grad. Texts in Math. **67**, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
[28] Shyr, J.-M. *A generalization of Dirichlet's Unit Theorem.* J. Number Theory **9** (1991), 213-217.
[29] Shyr, J.-M. *On some class number relations of algebraic tori.* Mich. Math. J. **24** (1977), 365-377.
[30] Tamme, G. *Introduction to Étale Cohomology.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[31] Voskresenskii, V. *Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants.* Trans. Math. Monogr. vol. **179**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1998.
[32] Xarles, X. *The scheme of connected components of the Néron model of an algebraic torus.* J. reine angew. Math. **437** (1993), 167-179.
[33] Zassenhaus, H. *The Theory of Groups* (2nd Ed). Chelsea Pub. Co., New York 1958.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena, Chile
E-mail address: cgonzalez@userena.cl